

SNIA Solid State Storage Performance Test Specification V0.9

Measuring the Performance of Solid State Storage Devices - Draft V0.9 Released for Public Review -

> Easen Ho CTO, Calypso Systems, Inc.



Solid State Storage Performance Test Specification - V0.9

Public Review Period 7/12/2010 – 9/12/2010

The SSSI & SSS TWG seeks Industry Feedback

Download the spec:

www.snia.org/tech_activities/publicreview

Upload Feedback:

www.snia.org/tech_activities/feedback

Updates to Spec:

www.snia.org/forums/sssi



Solid State Storage (SSS) Performance Test Specification (PTS)

Version 0.9

Publication of this Working Draft for review and comment has been approved by the SSS TWG. This draft represents a "best effort" attempt by the SSS TWG to reach preliminary consensus, and it may be updated, replaced, or made obsolete at any time. This document should not be used as reference material or cited as other than a "work in progress." Suggestion for revision should be directed to http://www.snia.org/feedback/.

Working Draft

June 22, 2010

Copyright © 2010 SNIA. All rights reserved. All other trademarks or registered trademarks are the property of their respective owners.



- Overview
 - Motivation
 - Key Issues Considered
 - Test Environment
- PTS Specification
 - PTS v0.9 Purpose, Scope, Exclusions
 - Test Setup, Purge, Steady State
 - Tests Contained in the PTS v0.9
 - Test Drive: Sample Run using Various SSD
- PTS Roadmap
 - Follow-on Work In Progress or Consideration
- SNIA Organization and the SSSI
 - Feedback & Involvement



- No Industry Standard
 - No standard methodology, common terminology, nor test environment for measuring SSS performance
- Ad Hoc Tests & Benchmarks
 - SSS makers/reviewers use different applications, OS and hardware; produces and uses selected metrics
- Market Confusion
 - Difficult to compare test results from different sources; difficult to ensure accuracy in representing SSS products to end users







RND/4K Write Saturation





Items Impacting SSD Performance





SSS PTS

- SNIA SSSI & TWG Solid State Storage Performance Test Specification (SSS PTS) v 0.9 – 60 Day Public Review
- Standardized Tests & Methodologies
 - Effectively measure device performance of SSS products

Fair Comparisons

 Using a standardize test methodology and reporting requirements, performance can be more easily compared, particularly done using a reference environment



The SSS PTS introduces key basic concepts:

- Common Starting Point start test by first placing the drive into a known, repeatable state
- Pre-conditioning from the common starting point, preconditioned the drive
- Steady State measurements are taken only when the drive reaches and maintains steady state condition
- *Required Reporting* establishes required testing conditions and results reporting



- Test Platform Agnostic No specified test environment
- Test Tool Requirements Sets out minimum requirements a test application and the test environment must be able to do
- Reference Test Platform (RTP) A common RTP intended to facilitate direct comparison of drive device performance

Calypso's SSD Reference Test Platform

- The SNIA SSS TWG approved a "Reference Test Platform", which specified a set of hardware and options for software to allow direct comparisons
- Calypso has developed a RTP product based on this recommendation
- A significant portion of the data used as input to inform the formation of the Spec is taken on the Calypso RTP
- The Calypso RTP has been used extensively to validate the current Draft V0.9 Specification
- Calypso's RTP is fully PTS-compliant, and has been used by Calypso for 2010 Blind Survey of SAS/SATA SSDs & 3d Party Comparison Reports



Calypso's SSD Reference Test Platform

Intel S5520HC

Single Intel W5580, 3.2GHz, Quad-core CPU

12GB, 1333MHz, ECC DDR3 RAM

LSI 9212-4e4i 6Gb/s SAS HBA

Intel ICH10R 3Gb/s SATA

8X Gen-II PCI-e

CentOS 5.5

Calypso RTP Backend V1.5

Calypso Test Suite (CTS) V6.5



Data Taken on Calypso's SSD RTP R/W=65/35, Various Block Sizes



* SNIA PTS Compliant



- Overview
 - Motivation
 - Key Issues Considered
 - Test Environment
- PTS Specification
 - PTS v0.9 Purpose, Scope, Exclusions
 - Test Setup, Purge, Steady State
 - Tests Contained in the PTS v0.9
 - Test Drive: Sample Run using Various SSD
- PTS Roadmap
 - Follow-on Work In Progress or Consideration
- SNIA Organization and the SSSI
 - Feedback & Involvement



"...This Specification defines a set of *device level tests* and methodologies to enable *comparative testing* of Solid State Storage (SSS) devices."

- Performance Test Specification v0.9 – Section 1.1

FlashMemory Test Contained In Draft V0.9 Spec.

The V0.9 Specification encompasses:

- A suite of basic SSS performance tests
 - IOPS
 - Throughput
 - Latency
- Preconditioning requirements
- Standard test procedures
- Standard test reporting requirements



- Application tests
- Matching to user workloads
- Energy efficiency
- Required test platform (HW/OS/Tools)
- Certification
- Device endurance, availability, data integrity

- Performance Test Specification v0.9 – Section 1.3.1

FlashMemory The SNIA PTS Draft V0.9





1. Purge	 Security Erase, Sanitize, Format Unit, other proprietary methods
2. Set Conditions	Set user selectable test parameters, such as Active Range, Data Pattern, Demand Intensity
3. Pre-Condition	Workload independentWorkload dependent
4. Run Until SS	Reiterate loops until Steady State is reached, or run to a prescribed maximum number of loops
5. Collect Data	Collect data from Steady State Measurement Window
6. Generate Reports	Use standard report formats and include required and optional elements



SSS PTS Test Sequence





Purge

Pre-Condition

- Workload independent
- Workload dependent

Active Range

- Pre-conditioning
- Test

Steady State

- Measurement window
- Data excursion condition
- Slope excursion condition



 As per the PTS V0.9 Specification, purge is defined as:

" The process of returning an SSS device to a state in which subsequent writes execute, as closely as possible, as if the device had never been used and does not contain any valid data"

 Example implementation includes: ATA Security Erase, Sanitize, SCSI Format Unit



- Pre-Conditioning is a key requirement in getting repeatable, representative results
- Goal is to put drive into "Steady State", using:
 - Workload independent PTS v0.9 Section 2.1.19
 - Use a prescribed workload unrelated to the test loop
 - Write 2X user capacity using SEQ/128KiB blocks
 - Workload dependent PTS v0.9 Section 2.1.20
 - Run test workload itself as pre-conditioning (self-preconditioning)



 As per the PTS V0.9 Specification, Active Range is defined as:

"... ActiveRange is the range of LBA's that may be accessed by the preconditioning and/or test code..."

- They are normally defined as % of the maximum LBA available to the user
- Note Pre-conditioning and Test can have different Active Ranges



- Premise is that reported data should be take only AFTER the test loop results shows the drive has reached and maintained "Steady State"
- The Measurement Window is the interval, measured in Rounds, when the test results have entered and maintained Steady State for 5 Rounds



- Steady State is reached only if BOTH of the following conditions are satisfied (assuming "y" is the variable being tracked):
 - 1. Variation of y within the Measurement Windows is within 20% of the Average
 - " Max(y)-Min(y) within the Measurement Window is no more than 20% of the Ave(y) within the Measurement Window; and "
 - Trending of y within the Measurement Windows is within 10% of the Average
 - "[Max(y) as defined by the linear curve fit of the data within the Measurement Window] – [Min(y) as defined by the best linear curve fit of the data within the Measurement Window] is within 10% of Ave(y) within the Measurement Window. "

wy Illustration: Steady State Measurement Window



Illustration: Steady State Measurement Window





Compare

- [Data Excursion] with [20% of Average]
- [Slope Excursion] with [10% of Average]
- Note
 - This method is slightly more tolerant than +10% and -10% data excursion method and +5% and -5% slope excursion method



200G-Class MLC: 72 Rounds Pre-conditioning Report: 100% Writes





200G-Class MLC: 72 Rounds Pre-conditioning Report: 100% Writes





DUT:

- 100GB-Class Enterprise SLC drive
- Test Parameters:
 - Active Range = [0,100%]
 - Thread Count=2
 - Queue Depth (Outstanding IO/Thread)=16
 - DP=RND

Test Drive: Client/Enterprise IOPS F **Block Size Sequence** SUMMI



Test Duration (Min)

FlashMemory Block Size Sequence



Test Drive: Client/Enterprise IOPS Memory Draft Formatted Report, 1/6

Test Run Date:	07/10/	2010 11:35 AM	Report Run D	later	08	/15/2010 0	4:17 PM					
	Client I	OPS (REQUI	RED) - Repoi	rt Pa	age							
SMIA SSS TWC.	colid State Sh	orage Performan	ce Test Specifical		PTS)	Rev.	0.8					
Poge 1 of 6												
Device Under Test (DUT)	IOPS Test - Calypso UIRED Systems			GALYPSO -								
/dev/sdd	/dev/sdd DUT Preparation		Test Loop Parameters			Steady State						
S/N: /dev/sdd	Purge	Security Erase	REQUIRED			Convergenc	 YES 					
DUT I/F SATA 6Gb/s	Pre-C	onditioning	Data Pati	Data Pattern RND		Rounds	2-6					
SYS I/F LSI 9212-464 Int. SAS	Workload		Tester's Choicei			Active	Range					
Test HW Calypso RTP	Indebenden t	2X SEQ/120 KIB	OIO/Thr	ead	16	REQ	100%					
Test SW CTSv6.5	Workload Dep.	Full IOPS Loop	Thread Co	ount	2	ОРТ	N/A					
8.1.	1 Steady S	State Conver	<mark>gence Plot –</mark> /	All B	lock S	izes						

F

53-0.5 Kis - 53-4 Kis - 53-5 Kis - 53-16 Kis - 53-52 Kis - 53-54 Kis - 53-12 Kis



Test Drive: Client/Enterprise IOPS ^{ry} Draft Formatted Report, 2/6



SUMMIT

Test Drive: Client/Enterprise IOPS Draft Formatted Report, 3/6

SUMMI





Flash Memory Test Drive: Client/Enterprise IOPS Draft Formatted Report, 4/6

Tes	t Run D abe:		07/10/	201() 11:35 AM	Report R	08/15/2010	04:	17 PM		
		(Client I	OP	<mark>S (R</mark> EQUI	RED) - Re	port P	age			
CINITA	eec two- c	alial I	Chaine Ch		o Dorforman	na Tart Caari	fication	(mrc)	Rev.		0.8
.3 RUA .	555 T U G. 5		3460: 34	лay				[P13]	Page	- 4	of 6
Device Under Test (DUT)		v	endar	8.1 Client IOPS Test - Calypso REQUIRED Systems				C A			
/dev/sdd [DUT P	repa	ration	Test Loop	o Parame	eters	Stea	dy S	tate	
S/N:	/dev/sdd	Pur	ge	Se	curity Erase	REQUIRED:			Converge	nce	YES
DUT I/F	SATA 6Gb/s		Pre-Con		tioning	Data	Pattern	RND	Round	5	2-6
SYS I/F	LSI 9212-4e4I Int. SAS	Wo	Workload Independen 2X S t Workload Dep. Full			Tester's Cho	ice:		Activ	e Ra	ange
Test HW	Calypso RTP	TUGe			3LQ/120 Kib	010	/Thread	16	REQ:		100%
Test SW	CTSv6.5	Worl			I IOPS Loop	Threa	d Count	2	OPT:		N/A
	8.1	<mark>.4 C</mark>	lient I(OPS	<mark>5 - ALL R</mark> V	V Mix & BS	6 – Tab	ular	Data		
Block S	ize				Rea	d / Write Mis	c 96				
(KGB)	0/10	0 5/95			65/35	50/50	35/6	5	95/5	1	00/0
	0.5 13,2	55.7	13,58	31.2	15,581.3	18,393.7	21,1	15.5	40,004.8	4	43,368.3
	4 19,5	60.6	20,23	38.4	23,886.3	26,641.2	29,8	27.0	41,460.1	4	46,365.3
	8 10,6	30.3	11,03	33.1	13,806.4	15,780.9	18,24	44.6	27,803.9	3	32,259.3
	16 5,6	20.5	5,82	24.9	7,451.9	8,651.6	10,1	74.7	15,772.3	1	18,309.1
	32 2,8	72.2	3,00)2.7	3,862.5	4,513.2	5,3	77.6	8,337.0		9,472.3
	64 1,4	61.8	1,5	15.4	1,962.1	2,293.9	2,7	51.4	4,304.9		4,829.3
	128 7	35.7	76	53.7	987.4	1,148.8	1,3	89.0	2,187.9		2,439.4
1	024	92.5	Ģ	95.9	124.1	144.6	1	73.1	277.2		307.6

Flash Memory

Test Drive: Client/Enterprise IOPS Draft Formatted Report, 5/6

Test Run Date:	07/10/	2010 11:35 AM	Report Run D	tabe: 04	3/15/2010 04	:17 PM						
	Client I	OPS (REQUI	RED) - Repor	't Page								
		nf		/ 000003	Rev.	0.8						
SILLASSS TWG: S	Skik 355 Twe: Suid State Stillage Performance Tex Specification (P15) Page 5 of 6											
Device Under Test (DUT)	IDPS Test - IIRED	Calypso Systems	CALYPSO									
/dev/sdd	DUT Preparation		Test Loop Pa	Steady State								
S/N: /dev/sdd	Purge	Security Erase	REQUIRED:		Convergence	YES						
DUT I/F SATA 6Gb/s	Pre-Co	onditioning	Data Pati	tern RND	Rounds	2-6						
SYS I/F LSI 9212-4e41 Int. SAS	Workload	2X 550 (122 KiP	Tester's Choice:		Active R	ange						
Test HW Calypso RTP	t	2X SEQ/128 KIB	OIO/Thr	ead 16	REQ:	100%						
Test SW CTSv6.5	Workload Dep.	Full IOPS Loop	Thread Co	ount 2	OPT:	N/A						
	8.1.5 Clier	nt IOPS - ALL	RW Mix & BS	5 - 2D Plo	t							

0/100 - 5/25 - 55/55 - 50/50 - 55/65 - 25/5 - 100/0





Test Drive: Client/Enterprise IOPS Draft Formatted Report, 6/6

Tes	t Run Date:	07/10/	2010 11:35 AM	Report Run Date: 08/15/2010 04:17 PM					
-		Client I	OPS (REQUI	RED) - Report	rt Pa	ige			
		allel Chains Ch		on Tost Conciliant			Rov.	0.8	
			age renunai			,	Paga	6 af 6	
Device (Under Test DUT)	IOPS Test - Calypso ЛRED Systems			CALYPSO				
/d	ev/sdd	DUT P	reparation	Test Loop Pa	arameters		Steady State		
5/N:	/dev/sdd	Purge	Security Erase	REQUIRED		-	Conversence	YES	
DUT I/F	SATA 6Gb/s	Pre-Co	onditioning	Data Pat	tern	RND	Rounds	2-6	
SYS I/F	181 9313-6+6 int. 848	Workload	and the second second	Tester's Choice			Active	Range	
Test HW	Calypso RTP	Independen	2X SEQ/128 KIB	OIO/Thr	ead	16	REQI	100%	
Test SW	CTSv6.5	Workload Dec.	Full IOPS Loop	Thread Co	ount	2	OPTI	N/A	
	8.1	.6 Client I	OPS - ALL R	W Mix & BS -	3D	Colun	nns		

nory Test Drive: Enterprise TP

DUT:

- 100GB-Class SLC drive
- Test Parameters:
 - Active Range = [0,100%]
 - Thread Count=2
 - Queue Depth (Outstanding IO/Thread)=16
 - DP=RND

Test Drive: Enterprise TP Draft Formatted Report 1/6

Test Drive: Enterprise TP Draft Formatted Report 2/6

Test Drive: Enterprise TP Draft Formatted Report 3/6

emory

F

Tes	t Run Dates	04/29/	2010 06:08 PM	Report Run I)ate	= 08 <u>,</u>	/15/2010	11:24 PM		
	Enter	prise Thro	oughPut Test	(REQUIRED)) – F	leport	Page			
SMIL		Salid fitate fit	erage Performan	n Test Snedikatk	-	TRI)	Rav.	0_8		
							Page 3 of 6			
Device Under Test CDUTC - Enterorise			Enterorise TP T	est – REQUERED	Ci 9v	ives o stom s Text	CAL	YPSO Systems		
Key Set Up Data DUT P			reparation Test Loop Par			ters	Steady State			
	-	Purce	Format Unit	REQUIRED:			Conversen	YES		
DUT I/F	SAS 6Gb/s	Pre-C	onditioning	Data Pattern RN		RND	Rounds	1-5		
SYS I/F		Worldon d	2X SEQ/128 KIB	Tester's Choice:			Active	Rance		
Test HW	Calypso RTP	Independent		OIO/Thr	bee	16	REQ:	100%		
Test SW	CTSV6.5	Worldoad Dec.	Full Enterprise TP Loop	Thread Co	ount	z	OPT:	N/A		
Steady State Measurement Window – SEQ/4KiB										

Standy State Catermination Cate			
Average ThroughPut:			97.1
Allowed Maximum Data Excursion:	16.4	Measured Meximum Cate Sx undors	7.4
Allowed Maximum Slope Excursion:	9.2	Measured Meximum Slope Sx undors	2.2
Lanat Squarez Linear Fit Formula:			-1.601 ⁻ R+ 98.667

Tes	t Run Date:	04/29/	2010 06:08 PM	Report Run I	Date	: 08	/15/2010	11:	:24 PM			
	Enter	prise Thro	oughPut Test	(REQUIRED)) – R	eport	Page					
SMIT		enter Di billo	orano Dorformany	n Tect Specificatio	an (D	rc)	Rev.		0.8			
	Page 4 of 6											
Device (Under Test DUT)	-	Enterprise TP To	est - REQUIRED	Ca Sys	lypso stems Inc	GA	YF 9ys	SO Refine			
Key Set Up Data DUT Pr		reparation Test Loop Parameter		ters	Steady State							
	-	Purge	Format Unit	REQUIRED:			Converge	nce	YES			
DUT I/F	SAS 6Gb/s	Pre-Co	onditioning	Data Pati	tem	RND	Round	5	1-5			
SYS I/F	L51 9212-4c4i int. 5A5	Workload		Tester's Choice:			Activ	e Ra	nge			
Test HW	Calypso RTP	Independent	ZA SEQ/120 NB	OIO/Thr	ead	16	REQ:		100%			
Test SW	CTSv6.5	Workload Dep.	Full Enterprise TP Loop	Thread Co	ount	2	OPT:		N/A			
	Enterp	rise Throu	ighPut - ALL	RW Mix & BS	i – İ	abula	r Data	·				

Block Size	Read / W	Read / Write Hix %					
(KiB)	0/100	100/0					
0.5	19.1	25.2					
4	92.1	118.0					
8	138.7	132.0					
64	220.6	203.4					
1024	229.2	245.2					

Test Drive: Enterprise TP Draft Formatted Report 5/6

sh Memory

SUMMIT

Fla

Tes	t Run Date:	04/29/	2010 06:08 PM	Report Run I)ate:	: 08,	/15/2010 11	:24 PM			
	Enter	prise Thro	oughPut Test	(REQUIRED)) - R	eport	Page				
CMU		c_tJ cl_J_ cl	D	m Tart Carrificati	/	ne)	Rev.	0.8			
	1 333 1 996 : 3		Page 3	5 of 6							
Device (Under Test DUT)	-	Enterprise TP Te	est - REQUIRED	Cal Sys	ypso tems	Galy	rso sem			
Key S	et Up Data	DUT P	reparation	Test Loop Parameters Steady State							
	-	Purge	Format Unit	REQUIRED:			Convergence	YES			
DUT I/F	SAS 6Gb/s	Pre-C	onditioning	Data Pattern		RND	Rounds	1-5			
SYS I/F	LSI 9212-4e4I ht. SAS	Workload	DV 650/100 K/D	Tester's Choice:			Active R	ange			
Test HW	Calypso RTP	Independent	2X SEQ/128 KB	OIO/Thr	read	16	REQ:	100%			
Test SW	CTSv6.5	Workload Dep.	Full Enterprise TP Loop	Thread Co	OPT:	N/A					
	Enterprise ThroughPut - ALL RW Mix & BS - 2D Plot										

47

!

Flash Memory Test Drive: Enterprise TP Draft Formatted Report 6/6

DUT:

- 100GB-Class SLC drive
- Test Parameters:
 - Active Range = [0,100%]
 - Thread Count=1
 - Queue Depth (Outstanding IO/Thread)=1
 - DP=RND

Memory Draft Formatted Report 1/6

Tes	t Run Date:	n Date: 7/15/2010			Report Run Date	:=	8/10/2010			
	C	lient La	ater	icy (REQU	IRED) - Report	Page				
-				. Declara and	- Test Geodination (D	TP)	Rav.	8.0		
					z rest specification (r		Paga	1 of 6		
Key Set Up Data DUT Preparation					Test Loop Parame	ters				
	-	Purge Security Erase				Steady State				
Serial#	-	Pre-Conditioning			REQUIRED		Convergence	 YES 		
DUT I/F	SATA 6Gb/s	obhoW	ad	2X SEQ/12814B	Data Pattern	RND	Rounds	1-5		
SYS I/F	LSI 9212-4e4 Int SAS	Independ	lent		Tester's Cholœi		Active	Range		
Test HW	Calypso RTP		_	-	OIO/Thread	1	REQ	100%		
Test SW	CTSv6.5	Workload	Deb.	Full Latency Loop	Thread Count	1	OPTI	N/A		
5	Steady State	Conver	ger	ice Plot –	Average Latenc	y - 10	0% Writ	es		

Fla

Test Drive: Client/Enterprise Latency Draft Formatted Report 2/6

Tes	Test Run Date:			2010	Report Run Date	=	8/10/2010		
	C	ient La	iter	icy (REQU	JIRED) - Report	Page			
-					- Test Generation (1		Rav.	0.8	
					te rest specification (r		Paga	2 of 6	
Key Set Up Data		DUT Preparation			Test Loop Parame	ters	CALPSO		
	-	Purge Security Erase		curity Erase		Steady State			
Serial#	-	Pre-Cond		ditioning	REQUIRED		Convergence	YES	
DUT I/F	SATA 6Gb/s	Worklo	ad		Data Pattern	RND	Rounds	1-5	
SYS I/F	LSI 9212-4e4 Int. SAS	Independ	lent	24 360/12018	Tester's Choiœi		Active F	tange	
Test HW	Calypso RTP			-	OIO/Thread	1	REQ	100%	
Test SW	CTSv6.5	workidad	0.00.		Thread Count	1	ОРТІ	N/A	
S	teady State C	Converg	jen	ce Plot –	Maximum Laten	cy - 10	0% Writ	es	
250.	00 [0/100,1	85-0.5KRW	-0/100, BS-4K RVV-0/100,	BS-BK	Calv	25)(i). Rising	

FlashMemory

Test Drive: Client/Enterprise Latency Draft Formatted Report 3/6

Те	Test Run Dates 7/15/2010			Report Run Date: 8/10/2010		.0	
	C	lient Later	ncy (REQU	JIRED) - Report	Page		
-						Rav.	0.8
					-185	Page 3	3 of 6
Key Set Up Data		DUT Preparation		Test Loop Parameters		CALAPSO	
	-	Purce Se	curity Erase			Steady S	State
Serial#	¢ –	Pre-Con	ditionina	REQUIRED:		Conversence	YES
DUT I/	F SATA 6Gb/s	Workload	2X 55 0/4 28K/P	Data Pattern	RND	Rounds	1-5
SYS I/	F LSI 9212-4e4l Int. SAS	Independent		Tester's Choice:		Active R	ance
Test HV	Calypso RTP	we also also a	Bull Latency Loop	OIO/Thread	1	REQ:	100%
Test SV	CTSV6.5	worldond Dec.	For catency coop	Thread Count	1	OPT:	N/A
	Stea	dy State M	leasureme	ent Window – RI	ND/4K	iB	
50, 0 5-8 0 5-8 0 7-8 0 7-5 0	0.0075 SL 00.00753308 0.0055 P.5 00.00753308 0.0055	1999 (0170007-208) 2993 (0170007-208)	00.09-5-888 (274) 00.09-5-888	-2015 00.001-524-36524 -2015 00.001-524-36524 -2015 00.001-524-36524	0%"Average	CALYI	PSO /stems
0.07 0.06							
0.05			2	3 4			6
			_			-	-
	ady State Caterovical	tion Colo		Round			
Av	rage Laborcy (ms):						0.000
	wead Maximum Data Exc	union	0.012	Manused Maximum Data 6	xe undone		0.005
	wed Maximum Slope Ex	curatoric	0.000	Manurud Maximum Sloga	ine undone		0.002
	at Squares Linear Fit Fi	>mula:				0.001 × R +	0.030

Memory Test Drive: Client/Enterprise Latency Draft Formatted Report 4/6

Tes	t Run Date:				Report Run Date	:=	8/10/2010			
	C	lient La	iten	ic <mark>y (R</mark> EQU	IRED) - Report	Page				
CHILL COP TWO: Calif Chats Charges Definitions Test Coordination (INTE)							Rev.	0.8		
	(335 THA. 300		uray		ie rest specification (r	13/	Page 4	4 of 6		
Key	Key Set Up Data DUT Preparation Test Loop Parameters						250 Sterna			
- Purge Security Erase					Steady S	state				
Serial#	-	Pre	-Con	ditioning	REQUIRED:		Convergence	YES		
DUT I/F	SATA 6Gb/s	Worklo	ad	nt 2X SEQ/128KiB	Data Pattem	RND	Rounds	1-5		
SYS I/F	LSI 9212-4e4i int. SAS	Independ	lent		Tester's Choice:		Active R	ange		
Test HW	Calypso RTP			OIO/Thread	1	REQ:	100%			
Test SW	CTSv6.5	workload Dep.		workload Dep	vep.	Tom Latency Loop	Thread Count	1	OPT:	N/A
Average and Maximum Response Time - ALL RW Mix & BS – Tabular Data										

Average Response Time (ms)							
	Read / Write Mix %						
Block Size (KiB)	0/100 65/35 100/0						
0.5	0.1723	0.1452	0.1252				
4	0.0598	0.1224	0.1337				
8	0.0767	0.1450	0.1619				

Maximum Response Time (ms)							
	Read / Write Mix %						
Block Size (KiB)	0/100 65/35 100/0						
0.5	166.7	155.8	155.9				
4	155.8	155.9	155.8				
8	155.8	155.8	156.5				

Test Drive: Client/Enterprise Latency Flash Memory Draft Formatted Report 5/6

Test Run Date: 7/15/2010			Report Run Date: 8/10/2010			10		
2	C	lient La	ter	icy (REQL	JIRED) - Report I	Page		
52041		State St		e Deritora an	re Test Specification (B		Rav.	0.8
							Paga	5 of 6
Кеу	Set Up Data	DUT	Pre	paration	Test Loop Parame	ters	GALY	PSO /stams
8	- Purge		e Security Erase				Steady State	
Serial#	-	Pre-	Con	ditioning	REQUIRED: Data Pattern	RND	Conversence	YES
DUT I/F	SATA 6Gb/s	Worklos	ad	d			Rounds	1-5
SYS I/F	LSI 9212-444 Int SAS	Independ	ndent 2X SEQ/128KB	Tester's Cholce: OIO/Thread 1		Active R	ange	
Test HW	Calypso RTP	au anna	and the first of the second states of the		1	REQI	100%	
Test SW	CTSv6.5	Worldoad Dep.	Dep.	Auli Latancy Loop	Thread Count	1	OPTI	N/A
	Ave	rage La	ate	ncy vs BS	and R/W Mix - 3	D Plo	ot	
							CAINDS	~

Block Size (66B)

Test Drive: Client/Enterprise Latency Flash Memory Draft Formatted Report 6/6

Test Run Date: 7/15/2010			Report Run Date	=	8/10/201	10	
1	C	lient La	tency (REQ	JIRED) - Report I	Page		
			nane Declara a	en Tect Specification (1)		Rav.	0.8
					,	Paga	6 of 6
Key	Set Up Data	DUT	Preparation	Test Loop Parame	ters	CAL	
and the second se	÷.	Purge Security Erase				Steady State	
Serial#	-	Pre-	Conditioning	REQUIRED: Data Pattern	RND	Conversence	YES
DUT I/F	SATA 6Gb/s	Worklos	d my crow pain			Rounds	1-5
SYS I/F	LSI 9212-4+4 Int SAS	Independ	ent 2X SEQ/128KB	Tester's Cholce		Active R	ange
Test HW	Calypso RTP	and a second	and an interest	OIO/Thread	1	REQI	100%
Test SW	CTSv6.5 Workload Dec	Dep. Rull Labercy Loop	Thread Count	1 1	OPTI	N/A	
	Maxi	imum L	atency vs B	S and R/W Mix-	3D PI	ot	

- Overview
 - Motivation
 - Key Issues Considered
 - Test Environment
- PTS Specification
 - PTS v0.9 Purpose, Scope, Exclusions
 - Test Setup, Purge, Steady State
 - Tests Contained in the PTS v0.9
 - Test Drive: Sample Run using Various SSD
- PTS Roadmap
 - Follow-on Work In Progress or Consideration
- SNIA Organization and the SSSI
 - Feedback & Involvement

RND/4K Write Saturation	 Application of continuous RND/4K writes to a FOB or purged device Observe IOPS performance evolution in time and total amount of data written
IOPS/W	 Measure total W used over a period of time, and deriving the number of IOPS that can be achieved at a given block size and access pattern with unit power Measure the power efficiency of the device
Client Active Range Restriction	 Perform PC or Test in a restricted LBA range or ranges To better simulate the environment of client usage
Cross Stimulus Recovery	 Measure performance metrics when changing between RND/SEQ and small block/large block stimulus To see how drive handles switching between sustained access patterns
Demand Intensity	 Measure performance metrics with various outstanding IOS from the test application understand the trade-off between achieving maximum IOPS vs acceptable maximum response time criteria

Response Time Histogram	 Get detailed response time statistics during specific stimulus to provide better insight into a drive's response time performance beyond a single average response time number 			
Task-Based Synth. Workloads	 Synthetic approximation to IO Trace playback based on understanding of access characteristics of specific tasks, such as video streaming, office productivity, etc. Build a library of well-studied synthetic stimulus that can be used to form more complex user cases 			
SSD Figure of Merit	 Derive simplified metric(s) from data resulting from various PTS tests To allow simply comparison between drives to aid marketing 			
Industry Requests?	• ?			
?	• ?			

- Overview
 - Motivation
 - Key Issues Considered
 - Test Environment
- PTS Specification
 - PTS v0.9 Purpose, Scope, Exclusions
 - Test Setup, Purge, Steady State
 - Tests Contained in the PTS v0.9
 - Test Drive: Sample Run using Various SSD
- PTS Roadmap
 - Follow-on Work In Progress or Consideration

SNIA Organization and the SSSI

Feedback & Involvement

SNIA – Storage Networking Industry Association

"Lead Industry Standards for information storage management"

SSSI – Solid State Storage Initiative

"Foster the success of Enterprise & Client SSS markets"

SSS TWG – Solid State Storage Technical Working Group "Develop SNIA Technical Specifications & Standards"

SSSI – 33 Members (as of 06/21/2010)

- 1) AmerNet
- 2) ATTO
- 3) BiTMICRO Networks
- 4) Calypso Systems
- 5) Coughlin Associates
- 6) Dell
- 7) EMC
- 8) Fusion-io
- 9) Hitachi GST
- 10) HP
- 11) IBM

- 12) Intel 13) LSI 14) Marvell 15) Micron 16) Microsoft 17) NetApp 18) Objective-Analysis 19) Patni Computer Systems 20) Pliant Technology 21) PMC-Sierra 22) Samsung
- 23) SanDisk
- 24) Seagate
- 25) Silverton Consulting
- 26) Smart Modular
- 27) Sun Microsystems
- 28) Texas Memory Systems
- 29) Toshiba
- 30) Violin Memory
- 31) Webfeet Int'l
- 32) Western Digital
- 33) Xiotech

FlashMemory TWG – 56 Members (as of 06/21/2010)

1) AmerNet	15) Fusion-io	29) Mtron	43) Smart Modular
2) ATTO	16) George Washington Univ.	30) NetApp	44) Storspeed
3) Avere Systems	17) Harvey Mudd College	31) Objective-Analysis	45) Sun Microsystems
4) BiTMICRO Networks	18) HCL Technologies	32) Olocity	46) Tata Consultancy
5) Calypso Systems	19) HDS	33) Oracle	47) Texas Memory Systems
6) Compellent	20) Hitachi GST	34) Patni Computer Systems	48) Toshiba (in process)
7) Coughlin Associates	21) Hauwei	35) Pillar Data Systems	49) Univ. of Minnesota
8) Data Mobility Group	22) HP	36) Pliant Technology	50) Violin Memory
9) Dataram	23) IBM	37) PMC-Sierra	51) Vmware
10) Data Storage Institute	24) Intel	38) Samsung	52) Webfeet Int'l
11) Dell	25) LSI	39) SandForce	53) Western Digital
12) EMC	26) Marvell Semiconductor	40) SanDisk	54) Wipro Technologies
13) Exar Corp.	27) Micron	41) Seagate	55) Xiotech
14) Florida State Univ.	28) Microsoft	42) Silverton Consulting	56) Xyratex

Download The Spec.	• www.snia.org/publicreview
Upload Feedbacks	• www.snia.org/feedback
Updates to The Spec.	• www.snia.org/forums/sssi
SSS White Papers	 www.snia.org/forums/sssi/knowledge/education
Get Involved: SSSI	• www.snia.org/forums/sssi
Get Involved: SSSI TWG	 www.snia.org/apps/org/workgroup/ssstwg/

Thank You

Easen Ho CTO, Calypso Systems, Inc. eho@calypsotesters.com