# NEXGEN<sup>®</sup> S T O R A G E

Take control of storage performance





## **Transition From Speed To Management**



# Limitations of disk based solid-state architectures

- Write workloads
- RAID overhead
- SAS backplane bottlenecks

### Challenges Not Addressed By All-Solid-State Arrays

- Reducing Cost
- Migration
- Management

"I need to <u>MANAGE</u> performance" "I need to <u>MANAGE</u> performance"



## Leveraging Solid-state for Every Workload



• While data continues to grow\*\*

57% CAGR



- Performance complexity is accepted
- Innovation to manage performance is absent

Graphic

### Performance QoS

Ability to Migrate





"The n5 is a very small foot print compared to my existing storage. My existing storage chews up a TON of power, takes up roughly 15U of rack space and costs a fortune every year in maintenance/support. By comparison. The n5 takes up 3U of space and about a 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of the power."

### **Robert Samples**

Senior Systems Engineer







## NexGen n5 Storage System



- Active-Active for Enterprise High Availability
- Balanced Performance & Capacity
  - PCIe Solid-state
  - 7.2k RPM MDL SAS

- Real-time Dynamic Data Placement
- Inline Data Reduction
- Performance Quality of Service (QoS)

**ALL-IN PRICING** 

• Performance Service Levels



## PCIe Solid-state Is More Efficient

### Solid-state behind SAS Designed for high latency disk drives

**Solid-state on PCIe** Designed for CPU and RAM, extreme low latency





Lower Capacity Limited Performance

## KC Urology Care (Healthcare)



"Quote..."

### **David Blaisdale** IT Director





Image and desktop managementCaching





## Leveraging Solid-State for Every Workload



### Tier

- Application sends a block write IOP
- The data block is mirrored
  - Data exists on two PCIe solid-state devices
  - Data is in a highly available state
- The block write IOP is acknowledged

### Cache (Writes and Reads)

- The redundant copy is moved to disk
- Original copy in solid-state used for writes/reads

### **Processor/PCIe Solid-State Offline**

• Data is rebuilt using redundant copy

- Original copy evicted from solid-state
- Infrequently accessed blocks stored on disk



## Dynamic Data Placement For Best Price/Performance

### **Automated tiering** Good performance at a lower \$/GB SOL Exchange File Share Reports Response ?? ?? ?? Time 28 29 30 31 **Fast Tier Capacity Tier Reactive Automation**



After-The-Fact Complex **Dynamic Data Placement** Best price/performance ratio



- Current performance
- QoS setting
- Dedupe ratio
- Last accessed & frequency

Proactive Simple



## Data Reduction For Lowest \$/GB

### Deduplication

Designed for backup, forces trade-offs

### **Post Process**

- Buy extra capacity
- Impacts performance



### Inline

- Requires resources, impacts latency
- Not acceptable for primary storage



All solid-state w/ dedupe
 Doesn't Improve \$/GB





Reduces Performance, or Costs Around \$10/GB



## Data Reduction

Designed for primary storage

### Fully integrated into the data path

• All volumes are 100% deduped at create



### **Inline data reduction**

- Pattern matching leverages 48 cores of processing
- Immediate utilization impact
- QoS controlled to eliminate performance impact



### Default thin provisioning for all volumes

Improved capacity utilization

### No Performance Impacts Lower \$/GB





"Users are seeing much improved login times, reports run faster from our business apps. But the best thing, is it allows me as a IT guy, to do stuff in the middle of the day without having to worry that it's going to impact end users."

### **Robert Samples**

Senior Systems Engineer



## Managing Performance Requires QoS

### **Configuring SAN performance**

Applications share all performance









Shared resources = contention

Performance

Floor

Unpredictable Inefficient

## Quality of Service in Action

### Figure 6. ioControl in Action





## Service Levels For Total Control





## Service Levels in Action



- Addressing Cost
- Addressing Migration
- Addressing Management



# Welcome to Storage Election 2012



## Vote for the best solidstate storage approach!

www.storageelection2012.com



## Conventional Hybrid aka Rusty Spindles III

- Leverage existing investments
- Piece of mind with tried and true architecture
- Use SSD for read cache/ optimize SATA for writes

## All-SSD Arrays aka Flash Made-off

- Replace all disk drives with SSD
- More performance than a conventional approach
- Use deduplication to keep \$/GB inline with disk



### PCIe Hybrids with QoS aka **Fusion Powers**

- PCIe solid-state for read and write workloads
- Stale data destaged to disk
- Storage QoS for consistent, predictable performance





## NexGen Storage n5 Series





Model	n5-50	n5-100	n5-150
Solid-state Capacity	770 GB	1,280 GB	2,400 GB
Raw Capacity	16 TB	32 TB	48 TB
IOPS Rating*	50,000	100,000	150,000
Capabilities	<ul> <li>ioControl Operating Environment includes:</li> <li>Storage Quality of Service (QoS)</li> <li>Performance Service Levels</li> <li>Dynamic Data Placement</li> <li>Data Reduction</li> </ul>		
Storage Processors	Dual Active-Active		
RAM	48 GB		
Network Interfaces	Data: (4) 10GbE + (8) 1 GbE, iSCSI Management: (4) 1GbE, HTTP		
Hardware Availability	Redundant storage processors Redundant fans Redundant power supplies Redundant network connections Dual port SAS drives		
Support Options	7 day x 24 hour phone support with onsite parts 7 day x 24 hour phone support with next business day parts 5 day x 9 hour phone support with next business day parts		











\*4K 100% random mixed workload from multiple hosts