

LDPC Decoding: VLSI Architectures and Implementations

Module 1: LDPC Decoding

Ned Varnicavarnica@gmail.com

Marvell Semiconductor Inc

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Error Correction Codes (ECC)
- \blacksquare Intro to Low-density parity-check (LDPC) Codes
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ ECC Decoders Classification
	- Soft vs Hard Information
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Message Passing Decoding of LDPC Codes
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Iterative Code Performance Characteristics

Error Correction Codes (ECC)

Error Correcting Codes (ECC)

Rate = $4/7$

- Block Codes
	- User data is divided into blocks (units) of length K bits/symbols

• Each ^K bit/symbol user block is mapped (encoded) into an N bit/symbol codeword, where $N > K$

• Example:

– in Flash Devices user block length $\mathcal{K}% _{1}=2^{n}\mathcal{K}$ and \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}

– code rate R = K/N is usually ~0.9 and higher

- **Important Linear Block Codes**
	- Reed-Solomon Codes (non-binary)
	- Bose, Chaudhuri, Hocquenghem (BCH) Codes (binary)
	- –**Low Density Parity Check (LDPC) Codes**
	- Turbo-Codes

5

Iterative (ITR) Codes

A linear block can be defined by a generator matrix

$$
\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} g_{00} & g_{01} & \cdots & g_{0,N-1} \\ g_{10} & g_{11} & \cdots & g_{1,N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{K-1,0} & g_{K-1,1} & \cdots & g_{K-1,N-1} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{encoding}} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{G}
$$
\nCodeword

\nUser message

- Matrix associated to **G** is parity check matrix **H**, s.t. $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T = \mathbf{0}$ $\cdot \mathbf{u}$ =
	- ^A vector is a codeword if

$$
\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{0}
$$

 A non-codeword (codeword + noise) will generate a non-zero vector, which is called syndrome

$$
\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{s}
$$

■ The syndrome can be used in decoding

$$
\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{u} = (1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1)
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{G} = (0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1)
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T = \mathbf{0}
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{aligned} &\text{Encoding} \\ &\hat{\mathbf{v}} = (\mathbf{0} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \end{aligned}
$$

\n
$$
\hat{\mathbf{v}} = (\mathbf{0} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \end{aligned}
$$

Low-Density Parity-Check (LDPC) Codes

David MacKay

- \mathcal{C} LDPC code is often defined by parity check matrix **^H**
	- The parity check matrix, **H**, of an LDPC code with practical length has low density (most •entries are 0's, and only few are 1's), thus the name *Low-Density* Parity-Check Code
- \mathbb{R}^n Each bit of an LDPC codeword corresponds to a column of parity check matrix
- \mathbb{R}^n **Each rows of H** corresponds to a single parity check
	- • For example, the first row indicates that for any codeword the sum (modulo 2) of bits 0,1, and N-1 must be 0

ECC Decoder Classification: Hard vs Soft Decision Decoding

 \mathbb{R}^n ■ Hard decoders only take hard decisions (bits) as the input

- • E.g. Standard BCH and RS ECC decoding algorithm (Berlekamp-Massey algorithm) is a hard decision decoder
- $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ Hard decoder algorithm could be used if one read is available

 $\overline{}$ Error-and-Erasure decoder is a variant of soft information decoder: in addition to hard decisions, it takes erasure flag as an input

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ **Error-and-Erasure decoder algorithm could be used if two reads are available**

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Erasure flag is an example of soft information (though very primitive)
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Erasure flag points to symbol locations that are deemed unreliable by the channel
- $\overline{}$ Normally, for each erroneous symbol, decoder has to determine that the symbol is in error and find the correct symbol value. However, if erasure flag identifies error location, then only error value is unknown
- \mathbb{R}^n Therefore, erasure flag effectively reduces number of unknowns that decoder needs to resolve

- \mathcal{C} ■ Example. Rate 10/11 Single parity check (SPC) code
	- \bullet • Each valid 11-bit SPC codeword $c=(c_0,c_1,...c_{10})$ has the sum (mod 2) of all the bits equal to 0 bits equal to 0
	- • Assume that (0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0) is transmitted, and (0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0) is received by decoder
	- \bullet The received vector does not satisfy SPC code constraint, indicating to the decoder that there are errors present in the codeword
	- \bullet Furthermore, assume that channel detector provides bit level reliability metric in the form of probability (confidence) in the received value being correct
	- \bullet Assume that soft information corresponding to the received codeword is given by (0.9,0.8,0.86,0.7,0.55,1,1,0.8,0.98,0.68,0.99)
	- \bullet • From the soft information it follows that bit c_4 From the soft information it follows that bit c_4 is least reliable and should be
flipped to bring the received codeword in compliance with code constraint

Obtaining Hard or Soft Information from Flash Devices

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Obtaining hard information (decision) via one read
- \mathcal{C} **One V_{REF} threshold is available: Threshold value should be selected so that the** average raw bit-error-rate (BER) is minimized

- \mathbb{R}^3 In each bit-location, the hard-decision $hd = 0$ or $hd = 1$ is made
- \mathcal{C} This information can be used as input into decoder
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Shaded area denotes the probability that a bit error is made

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ **Obtaining soft information via multiple reads**
	- •**Create bins**
	- •• Bins can be optimized in terms of their sizes / distribution of V_{REF} values **given the number of available reads (e.g. 5 reads)**
	- •**These bins can be mapped into probabilities**
	- **Typically, the closer the bin to the middle point, the lower the confidence** •**that the bit value (hard-read value) is actually correct**

18

Decoding LDPC Codes

Each bit "1" in the parity check matrix is represented by an edge between corresponding variable node (column) and check node (row)

$$
\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Decision to flip a bit is made based on the number of unsatisfied checks connected to the bit

TheT**srealismian britain doministre the formalismic of health and the formalism of the formalism of the consequence is a hour set of hour**

Bit-Flipping Decoder Progress on a Large **IshMemory LDPC Code SUMMIT**

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ **Decoder starts with a relatively large number of errors**
- \mathcal{C} **As decoder progresses, some bits are flipped to their correct values**
- \mathcal{C} **Syndrome weight improves**
	- **As this happens, it becomes easier to identify the bits that are erroneous and** •**to flip the remaining error bits to actual (i.e. written / transmitted) values**

 \Box The information used in soft LDPC decoder represents bit reliability metric, LLR (log-likelihood-ratio)

$$
LLR(b_i) = \log\left(\frac{P(b_i = 0)}{P(b_i = 1)}\right)
$$

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ The choice to represent reliability information in terms of LLR as opposed to probability metric is driven by HW implementation consideration
- \mathcal{C} The following chart shows how to convert LLRs to probabilities (and vice versa)

 $\overline{}$ Bit LLR>0 implies bit=0 is more likely, while LLR<0 implies bit=1 is more likely

 \mathbb{R}^2 LDPC decoding is carried out via message passage algorithm on the graph corresponding to a parity check matrix **H**

- $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ The messages are passed along the edges of the graph
	- •First from the bit nodes to check nodes
	- And then from check nodes back to bit nodes•

- \mathbb{R}^n There are four types of messages
	- Message from the channel to the n-th bit node L_n •
	- Message from n-th bit node to the m-th check node $|Q_{n->m}^{(i)}|$ •
	- Message from the m-th check node to the n-th bit node • $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ *R*^(*i*)
	- \bullet • Overall reliability information for n-th bit-node at the end of iteration $P_n^{(i)}$

- $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ Message passing algorithms are iterative in nature
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ One iteration consists of
	- \bullet upward pass (bit node processing/variable node processing): bit nodes pass the information to the check nodes
	- downward pass (check node processing): check nodes send the updates back to bit nodes
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ The process then repeats itself for several iterations

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Bits-to-checks pass: $Q_{n\to m}^{(i)}$: n-th bit node sums up all the information it has <u>PRO to chooks paso</u>. Ex_{n→>m} + it that house same up an the mormation it has received at the end of last iteration, except the message that came from m-th check node, and sends it to m-th check node
	- At the beginning of iterative decoding all R messages are initialized to zero

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Checks-to-bits pass:
	- • Check node has to receive the messages from all participating bit nodes before it can start sending messages back
	- \bullet Least reliable of the incoming extrinsic messages determines magnitude of check-to-bit message. Sign is determined so that modulo 2 sum is satisfied

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ At the end of each iteration, the bit node computes overall reliability information by summing up ALL the incoming messages

$$
P_n^{(i)} = L_n + \sum_m R_{m \to n}^{(i)}
$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}$ $\mathbf{P}^{(i)}$'s are then quantized to obtain hard decision values for each bit

$$
\widehat{x}_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } P_n^{(i)} < 0 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}
$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Stopping criterion for an LDPC decoder

n

- Maximum number of iterations have been processed OR
- \bullet All parity check equations are satisfied

 $\overline{}$ APP messages and hard decisions after 1st iteration:

 $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ APP messages and hard decisions after 2nd iteration:

- П Sum-Product: Optimal update rules at the check nodes request implementation of fairly complex *tanh()* function and its inverse
- \mathcal{C} Instead of these update rules, simple approximate rules have been devised: The rules require only computing minimum messages at each check node
	- In order to make approximation work, it is necessary/critical to utilize \bullet scaling/offsetting of messages from check to bit nodes
- П This algorithm is widely known as min-sum with scaling/offset and is often choice of implementation in Hardware

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ LDPC min-sum decoder on AWGN channel
- \mathbb{R}^3 One critical advantage of soft (min-sum) decoder is that it can utilize the information on bits provided by several reads
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Using multiple reads reveals additional information for each individual bit position (bin allocation / LLR mapping)
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Soft decoder could start with a fairly large number of LLRs with incorrect signs

- $\overline{}$ **Soft decoder could start with a fairly large number of LLRs with incorrect signs**
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ **Decoder takes advantage of the original soft information and improves the information on some bits during the initial iteration**
- $\overline{}$ **As iterations progress, propagation of improved information continues. This reduces the number of bit positions with incorrect LLR signs (hard-decisions)**
- $\overline{}$ **Eventually, all bit positions receive correct sign of LLRs: at this point the syndrome will verify that a valid codeword is found and decoder can terminate**

- b. **The choice of number of iterations is typically made with consideration of the following parameters:**
	- $\overline{}$ **Throughput / Latency**
	- **I SNR performance (Capacity gain)**
	- \mathcal{C} **Implementation Complexity**
	- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ **Power Consumption**

implementation

Code Design, Code Performance Characteristics and Efficient Hardware

- \mathcal{C} Generally, structure of the matrix needs to accommodate easier HW implementation
- \mathcal{C} Typical approach is to use quasi-cyclic LDPC codes

- \mathcal{C} With such matrix structures, row/column processing in decoding can be parallelized, e.g. process P variable/check nodes in a single clock cycle
- $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ The same processors could be utilized with scheduling and memory addressing handling different portions of the parity check matrix in different clock cycles

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Updates of messages may be done in a "flooding" fashion or in a layered (serial) fashion
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Both of these decoders benefit from structured matrices that naturally allow for parallel processing of a portion of the matrix, i.e. parallel processing of some number of rows / columns in the matrix
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ The main difference in layered decoding approach is that the information is utilized in serial fashion: New messages are utilized during the current iteration, as opposed to the flooding decoder that obtains new information on all nodes exactly once in each iteration
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ It has been demonstrated that layered/serial decoder can converge in about ½ of the number of iterations needed by flooding decoder

LDPC Iterative Decoder Performance Characteristics

- RS ECC performance is completely determined by its correction power t (in symbols)
- For example, RS ECC with correction power $t = 16$ symbols.
	- This code is capable of correcting up to 2t = 32 symbols of erasure. There is no restriction on the erasure symbol locations within a sector.
	- The code is capable of correcting t = 16 symbol errors regardless of type and location.
- Sector failure rate of RS ECC keeps falling at exponential rate with SNR increase
	- No flattening of SFR vs. SNR curve is observed at higher SNR's

- **EXALDPC ITR decoder correction guarantees**
	- Little to no *deterministic* performance guarantees are provided by the code
	- Error correction is *probabilistic*
		- Code is capable of fixing hundreds of bit errors, but may fail (with small probability) even if there are only few bit errors present
	- Decoder implementation (e.g. quantization of messages) is just as important to the final performance as code design
		- For a fixed ITR code, the differences in decoder implementation can have significant effect on overall performance

- П Waterfall region
	- BER/SFR drops rapidly with small change in SNR•
- $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ Error Floor (EF) region (High SNR region)
	- \bullet BER/SFR drop is much slower
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Specific structures in the LDPC code graph lead to decoding errors at high SNRs
	- structures known as Near-Codewords (trapping sets) are dominant in the EF region •

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Code design can be tailored to achieve the error floor bellow HER requirements
- \mathcal{C} Another strategy to push error floor to desired levels is via post-processing methodologies

- Iterative LDPC codes can enable FLASH industry to hit new capacity milestones
- Types of Decoders:
	- Hard: Bit-Flipping Decoders
	- Soft: Sum-Product (Min-Sum) Decoders
- Soft message passing decoders offer large SNR gains this translates to capacity gains
- **•** Optimized ITR codes/decoders are known to deliver performance near the theoretical limits in the channels dominated by random noise, e.g. AWG noise
- **Handling the error floor phenomenon in ITR decoders**
	- Code matrix design
	- Decoder design
	- Post-processing

APPENDIX

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ In "high-SNR" region, dominant errors are near-codewords (trapping sets)
- \mathcal{A} As the name suggests, near-codewords look similar to true codewords.
	- • More precisely they have low syndrome weight – violating only few of the parity check equations
	- Recall that a valid codeword has syndrome weight of 0
- \mathcal{C} Iterative decoder gets trapped into one of NCW's, and is unable to come out of this steady state (or oscillating state)
	- • Even if decoder has time to run 100's of iterations, it would not be able to come out of the trapping set

Code Selection

SFR profileas a function of code/decoder selection

Departmizing code/decoder selection based on the performance at low SNR's only may lead to impractical code selection.

There is a trade-off to be made between performance at low SNR's, defect correction, and error floor (performance at high SNR's)

Mis-Correction in LDPC Decoding

■ The minimum of all the distance between any two code words is called the minimum distance of the code, denoted by d_{min}

 Miscorrection: For an error correcting code, when the received sequence falls into the decoding area of an erroneous code word, the decoder may deliver the wrong code word as the decoding result.

- П Production grade devices will operate in the Error Floor region (High SNR region)
	- •Dominant Error Events in error floor region are near-codewords
	- • Mis-correction is much lower probability event than dominant nearcodewords

