



# Flash-Optimized Database Consistency: Why Wait? Write Now.

# Michael Slavitch Senior System Architect Diablo Technologies



# Databases can be divided into two major categories:





**EVENTUALLY CONSISTENT ARCHITECTURES**: SHORTCOMINGS ARE NOW APPARENT

#### • Designed For Cheap, Low Performance HDDs

- Hardware with poor random read/write performance
- Pre-processing incorporated to minimize application wait times



• Resulting Lag (Before Commit) Can Be Significant



**EVENTUALLY CONSISTENT ARCHITECTURES**: SHORTCOMINGS ARE NOW APPARENT

• Flash Should Be The Answer



#### However...

• Simply Replacing HDDs With SSDs ≠ Success



### **WHERE DIABLO SEES INNOVATION**: *NETWORK EQUIPMENT VENDORS*

- No Time For Traditional DB Architecture
  - Need 200-400GB/sec for 100's of millions of connections
  - Massive concurrency on reads/writes

- Following KISS Approach:
  - Simple algorithms to distribute data
  - Use Flash as object store (both on-host and between hosts)
  - User space drivers to avoid kernel overhead
  - Special stacks for both network and storage
  - Using mathematical models to find and eliminate bottlenecks





### WHERE DIABLO SEES INNOVATION: SURPRISE! RELATIONAL DATABASE VENDORS



DB2 with BLU Acceleration



Automatic Storage Management (ASM)



Performance-Optimized MySQL

- Different Approaches, Similar Philosophies:
  - Leverage Flash performance
  - Massive parallelism
  - Enable fast commits
- Looking Ahead:
  - User-space drivers to avoid kernel overhead
  - Data commits at cache line granularity



### **WHERE DIABLO SEES INNOVATION**: FASTER QUORUM IN DISTRIBUTED COMPUTING

- New Distributed Consensus Algorithms
  - Egalitarian Paxos (EPaxos), faster distributed commits



- Quorum rate bottlenecks high performance distributed computing
- If there is fast quorum, there can be fast commits
- Still in academic research phase
- Commercial Research in Flash-oriented Databases
  - Proprietary, under NDA

Flash Memory Summit 2014 Santa Clara, CA

Image Source: *There Is More Consensus in Egalitarian Parliaments*, Iulian Moraru, David G. Andersen, Michael Kaminsky (Carnegie Mellon University and Intel Labs)



### WHAT DIABLO PREDICTS: NEW ENTRANTS MAY CAUSE DISRUPTION

- Watch Out For The Networking Vendors
  - CDNs and proxy servers are essentially distributed object DBs



• May Emerge As Threats To Distributed DB Vendors



## WHAT DIABLO RECOMMENDS: FLASH-ORIENTED DISTRIBUTED COMPUTING

• Stop Compensating For Spinning Disk:



- Replace SST files with directly accessed objects
- Replace SST file hierarchy with tiered DHT's
- Tiering/distribution automatic and fast on-host
- Automates redundancy without need for RAID
- Compelling Advantages
  - Frees CPU (no more sorting/merging)
  - Frees RAM (cached objects replace in-RAM tables)
  - Improved Application Performance
  - Increased Software Simplicity



#### MCS: Placing Flash Within The Memory Subsystem

Lowest storage latency via DDR3 data interface

Leverages NUMA parallelism for ultra-efficient scaling



Block interface (no changes required to existing software)

Powering SanDisk<sup>®</sup> "ULLtraDIMM<sup>™</sup>" and IBM<sup>®</sup> "eXFlash<sup>™</sup> DIMM"

- Perfect Fit For Database Acceleration
  - Sub-5µs commit times
  - Inherent parallelism fits distributed architectures
  - Unlocks true potential of flash





- Traditional RDBMS Vendors Are On The Right Track
  - Focus on efficient utilization of Flash
  - Natural progression for likes of Oracle, IBM, MySQL, MSFT
  - Allows them to revive technologies once seen as obsolete
- NoSQL Vendors Have Catching Up To Do
  - HDD-to-SSD upgrade is only part of the solution
  - Fundamental architectural roadblocks must be addressed
  - New research is promising, but execution is key
- Survival Of The Fittest
  - Networking vendors on COTS hardware will be disruptive
  - Effective utilization of Flash is crucial
  - DB vendors must learn and adapt....or risk being displaced





# THANK YOU