

# Databases Aware NVMe Flash: Pushing Application Performance

**Gurmeet Goindi** 

**Group Product Manger - Exadata, Oracle** 





## Traditional Database Deployment Issues



Servers



 Separation of servers and storage bottlenecks database performance

- Flash produces data much faster than LANs and SANs can transport it
- Storage dominates the costs of database deployments and yet is limited to simple block serving
- Deployments are unique, complex
- Database runs on top of generic protocols and algorithms — Huge performance gains are squandered



## Oracle Exadata Database Machine

The Best Oracle Database Platform



- **Pre-Integrated Hardware and Software** The latest hardware sized, tuned and tested for **Oracle Database** workloads.
- Unique Software and Protocols database, networking and storage software collaborate to power *fastest* and most efficient Oracle Database processing
- End-to-End Support one integrated support team to reduce complexity and lower operations costs. All technologies owned and supported by Oracle

#### Exadata X5-2 Product Components





ORACLE

- Scale-Out Database Servers
  - Two 18-core x86 Processors (36 cores)
  - Oracle Linux 6
  - Oracle Database Enterprise Edition
  - Oracle VM (optional)
  - Oracle Database options (optional)
- Fastest Internal Fabric
  - 40 Gb/s InfiniBand
  - Ethernet External Connectivity
- Scale-Out Intelligent Storage
  - High-Capacity Storage Server
  - Extreme Flash Storage Server
  - Exadata Storage Server Software



36 cores per server 256 – 768 GB DRAM

#### **High-Capacity Storage Server**

| 19    |  |   |  |   |         | i    |
|-------|--|---|--|---|---------|------|
| ्र    |  | : | 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1 | - | :<br>[] |      |
| and a |  |   |  | : |         | 1111 |

**Extreme Flash Storage Server** 



#### Exadata X5 Storage Servers







All-Flash

ORACLE

Disk + Flash Cache

State-of-the-art NVMe PCIe flash Consistently Low Response Times Optimized InfiniBand I/O Protocols

Exadata Storage Server Software Smart Scan (SQL Offload) Smart Flash Cache I/O Resource Management Hybrid Columnar Compression

| Performance      | Extreme Flash        | High-Capacity        |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Analytic Scans   | 263 GB/s             | 140 GB/s             |
| OLTP Reads (8K)  | 4.14 M IOPS          | 4.14 M IOPS          |
| OLTP Writes (8K) | 4.14 M IOPS          | 2.69 M IOPS          |
| Flash Latency    | 0.25 ms @ 2M<br>IOPS | 0.25 ms @ 1M<br>IOPS |

| Capacity                   | Extreme Flash | High-Capacity |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Cores (for SQL<br>offload) | 16            | 16            |  |  |  |
| Disk (per server)          | -             | 48 TB         |  |  |  |
| Flash (per server)         | 12.8 TB       | 6.4 TB        |  |  |  |
| Disk (full rack)*          | -             | 672 TB        |  |  |  |
| Flash (full rack)*         | 179.2 TB      | 89.6 TB       |  |  |  |

Copyright © 2015, Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

#### Exadata Use Cases



• DATABASE CONSOLIDATION / DBaaS



ONLINE TRANSACTION PROCESSING



• DATA WAREHOUSING



• IN-MEMORY DATABASE







## Exadata Elastic Configurations

#### **Optimize Exadata for any Workload**



#### **Oracle's Flash Architecture**





- Scale out architecture
  - adds flash capacity and performance by adding storage servers
  - adds networking and CPU needed to process flash in one unit
- Database Aware Storage
  - Metadata about IO present on the cell
- Flash on the Storage Server enables sharing
  - A block on disk is stored in only one flash cache



#### Exadata Smart Flash Cache





- Understands different types of I/Os from database
  - Skips caching I/Os to backups, data pump I/O, archive logs, tablespace formatting
  - Caches Control File Reads and Writes, file headers, data and index blocks
- Write-back flash cache
  - Caches writes from the database not just reads
- RAC-aware from day one



## Flash And Database Logs



- Flash has very good average write latency
- Greatly improves user transaction response time
- Flash occasional outliers, one or two orders of magnitude slower
- OLTP workloads dislike such large variations
- Oracle's Approach: Write to Flash and the DRAM cache in the disk controller simultaneously to even out the impact of outliers
  - the first to complete "wins" so that outliers are avoided (on either medium)

#### ORACLE



#### Most Cost Effective Database Storage

- Exadata software transparently gives best of memory, flash, disk
  - Cost and Capacity of SAS Disk Storage
  - I/Os of Scale-Out PCI Flash
  - Speed of In-Memory DB
- Hybrid Columnar Compression (HCC)
  - Industry best data compression (10x average) for analytics & archive
  - Data remains compressed in flash, memory, backups, standbys



Per standard DB Machine full rack 8 DB, 14 HC storage servers

#### ORACLE



## **Customer Case Study**



Copyright © 2015, Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

#### What Did We See - Exadata ODS

|    |                | Wait                              | Ev          | ent       | Wait Time  |          |          | Summary Avg Wait Time (ms) |          |           |          |     |
|----|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|----------|----------|----------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----|
| I# | Class          | Event                             | Waits       | %Timeouts | Total(s)   | Avg(ms)  | %DB time | Avg                        | Min      | Max       | Std Dev  | Cnt |
| 1  | User VO        | cell single block physical read   | 109,907,413 | 0.00      | 163,574.19 | 1.49     | 42.67    | 2.68                       | 1.03     | 6.34      | 2.12     | 6   |
|    |                | DB CPU                            |             |           | 103,236.10 | 7        | 26.93    |                            |          |           |          | 6   |
|    | User VO        | cell smart table scan             | 7,569,597   | 38.00     | 39,383.62  | 5.20     | 10.27    | 5.44                       | 4.09     | 7.95      | 1.71     | 6   |
|    | User VO        | cell list of blocks physical read | 1,840,214   | 0.00      | 17,490.27  | 9.50     | 4.56     | 12.23                      | 1.56     | 40.87     | 14.54    | 6   |
|    | Configuration  | free buffer waits                 | 561,823     | 0.00      | 17,171.88  | 30.56    | 15.02    | 30.56                      | 30.56    | 30.56     |          | 1   |
|    | User VO        | direct path read                  | 3,970,516   | 0.00      | 12,722.28  | 3.20     | 3.32     | 4.84                       | 0.81     | 7.15      | 2.70     | 6   |
|    | Administrative | Backup: MML write backup piece    | 4,464,570   | 0.00      | 11,318,53  | 2.54     | 2.95     | 2.70                       | 1.52     | 3.50      | 0.77     | 6   |
| L  | Administrative | Backup: MML create a backup piece | 83          | 0.00      | 4,665.91   | 56215.78 | 1.22     | 68356.68                   | 52790.56 | 104085.18 | 22729.19 | 6   |
|    | User VO        | direct path write temp            | 63,712      | 0.00      | 3,032.20   | 61.72    | 1.03     | 56.29                      | 15.49    | 89.46     | 28.88    | 6   |
|    | System I/O     | db file parallel write            | 488,771     | 0.00      | 3,917.23   | 8.01     | 1.02     | 9.85                       | 4.50     | 17.15     | 4.59     | 6   |

What? Writes are supposed to be fast! Wait until later slides.

|     | Reads MB/sec |              |                     |        | Writes MB/sec |                      |       | ŀ         | Reads request | s/sec               | Writes requests/sec |           |                      |        |  |
|-----|--------------|--------------|---------------------|--------|---------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|--|
| I#  | Total        | Buffer Cache | <b>Direct Reads</b> | Total  | DBWR          | <b>Direct Writes</b> | LGWR  | Total     | Buffer Cache  | <b>Direct Reads</b> | Total               | DBWR      | <b>Direct Writes</b> | LGWR   |  |
| 1   | 421.08       | 93.77        | 288.43              | 2.58   | 0.93          | 0.57                 | 0.63  | 13,626.77 | 11,869.15     | 582.90              | 134.81              | 84.79     | 4.22                 | 38.60  |  |
| 2   | 400.24       | 140.96       | 204.98              | 20.87  | 1.46          | 19.07                | 0.14  | 19,320.78 | 18,023.39     | 1,224.78            | 370.41              | 179.05    | 158.39               | 28.60  |  |
| 3   | 93.63        | 1.91         | 1.89                | 5.44   | 1.31          | 0.98                 | 2.03  | 348.29    | 202.73        | 44.90               | 64.07               | 28.85     | 4.20                 | 27.61  |  |
| 4   | 23.22        | 1.60         | 2.38                | 17.21  | 3.48          | 2.38                 | 7.63  | 74.30     | 35.66         | 10.41               | 132.27              | 80.21     | 9.86                 | 35.92  |  |
| 5   | 69.49        | 0.04         | 0.54                | 0.61   | 0.01          | 0.54                 | 0.02  | 85.12     | 1.68          | 4.13                | 32.32               | 0.92      | 3.95                 | 25.24  |  |
| 6   | 160.77       | 68.10        | 0.00                | 208.74 | 92.81         | 0.18                 | 77.59 | 8,834.21  | 8,715.62      | 0.16                | 10,258.19           | 9,871.10  | 21.63                | 285.09 |  |
| Sum | 1,168.43     | 306.39       | 498.23              | 255.45 | 100.01        | 23.71                | 88.03 | 42,289.47 | 38,848.23     | 1,867.27            | 10,992.07           | 10,244.91 | 202.25               | 441.06 |  |
| Avg | 194.74       | 51.06        | 83.04               | 42.58  | 16.67         | 2.00                 | 14.67 | 7,048.24  | 6,474.71      | 311.21              | 1,832.01            | 1,707.49  | 33.71                | 73.51  |  |

1.49 ms single block reads

While doing 42K read IOPS and 11K write iops over an hour period. Note: The other databases were active on the Exadata System during this time.

#### Comparison to Old system

| Metric                  | Exadata ODS | Monolithic<br>Hardware ODS | Comparison |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------|
| Single Block Reads      | 1.5 ms      | 3.8 ms                     | > 2x       |
| Log File Synch<br>Waits | .85 ms      | 5.7 ms                     | > 6x       |

Note: The Exadata ODS is over twice the workload as the previous version. In addition, the Exadata system is shared with several databases, while the Monolithic Hardware was dedicated.



#### Write Back Flash Enablement



Design to accelerate write intensive workloads.

From previous slide, we had lots of "free buffer waits".

Enabled this feature on X2-2.

| Result: No | o more | "free | buffer | waits" |  |
|------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--|
|------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--|

| 1# | Class          | Event                           | Waits      | %Timeouts | Total(s)   | Avg(ms) | %DB time | Avg     | Min    | Max     | Std Dev | Cnt |
|----|----------------|---------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|---------|-----|
| *  | User I/O       | cell smart table scan           | 14,284,936 | 53.33     | 230,906.11 | 16.16   | 35.90    | 24.30   | 9.53   | 60.09   | 19.12   | 6   |
|    | User I/O       | cell single block physical read | 48,230,613 | 0.00      | 219,661.68 | 4.55    | 34.15    | 7.15    | 3.51   | 21.00   | 6.82    | 6   |
|    |                | DB CPU                          |            |           | 75,069.31  |         | 11.67    |         |        |         |         | 6   |
|    | User I/O       | direct path read                | 4,699,822  | 0.00      | 54,744.99  | 11.65   | 8.51     | 9.98    | 4.34   | 19.87   | 5.84    | 6   |
|    | Cluster        | gc buffer busy acquire          | 268,463    | 0.00      | 14,779.13  | 55.05   | 2.30     | 867.60  | 15.56  | 2118.01 | 954.84  | 6   |
|    | System I/O     | log file sequential read        | 85,273     | 0.00      | 11,675.35  | 136.92  | 1.82     | 108.10  | 34.63  | 141.03  | 41.74   | 6   |
|    | Administrative | Backup: MML write backup piece  | 1,935,436  | 0.00      | 8,092.09   | 4.18    | 1.26     | 4.26    | 3.80   | 4.50    | 0.25    | 6   |
|    | Cluster        | gc cr block lost                | 5,598      | 0.00      | 6,836.16   | 1221.18 | 1.06     | 1044.20 | 662.23 | 1253.03 | 294.07  | 6   |
|    | Cluster        | gc current block busy           | 10,084     | 0.00      | 6,637.47   | 658.22  | 1.03     | 453.70  | 18.65  | 1128.37 | 387.97  | 6   |
|    | User I/O       | direct path read temp           | 158,540    | 0.00      | 6,588.04   | 41.55   | 1.02     | 57.56   | 30.41  | 84.71   | 38.39   | 6   |



15

#### What This Means to Us

## More Flexibility in System Use

- We are less concern about unplanned activities on the system. The users can go after the system when they need to, not during certain windows.
- Maintenance activities have less impact on system availability.

#### More Use of the Data

- Exadata's Flash reduces the i/o contention of the mixed workloads within the database and between competing databases
- More concurrent users mean more business questions being answered.

#### Faster Access to the Data

• Faster I/O means less time waiting for queries to return, more time to analyze the results

**U.S.** Cellular

## Integrated Cloud Applications & Platform Services



ORACLE®