

Flash Storage Drives a Better Bottom Line

Ivan Iannaccone Worldwide Product Line Manager HP 3PAR

Santa Clara, CA August 2015



Inside the Data Center

Complex storage performance problems typically disappear

• This frees up time which can be put to better more rewarding use

Reduced investment in server CPU upgrades and server scaling

- Reducing application based licensing fees the gift that keeps on giving!
- "It's not just the cheques you write!" [Sony]

Decreased storage rack space requirements

• Freeing up valuable space on the datacenter floor

Reduced power and cooling costs

• Typically by up to 70%.



Outside the Data Center

Permanently freed up OPEX funds

• Fund that can be diverted into project investments instead

Improved workforce productivity

• Employees wait less for systems, making them more efficient.

Improved customer satisfaction

Customers using systems based upon flash storage will typically enjoy a better experience

 with less wait time and less frustrating "spinning wheels" – and they will be more likely to
 return to that business or portal in the future

Reduced time-to-decision in analytics apps

• Time is money! access to data analytics results faster could prove invaluable and be that differentiator between a winner and a loser!



Consistent performance creates trust and builds loyalty

Inconsistent read latency typical of a spinning media _____ based array.

- → Promotes dissatisfaction
- → SLA almost impossible





Predictable consistent submillisecond latency of an All Flash Array.

- \rightarrow Improves productivity
- \rightarrow Builds trust and loyalty

→ SLA very easy to enforce



8/1

Overall Savings

Traditional Tier 1

- 250TB usable
- 334TB raw
- 98U rack space
 (2.33x 42U racks)
- Power: 5800 Watts
- Heat: 30
 KBTU/hr
- Cost > \$1.5M

HP 3PAR 7450

- 253TB usable (4:1 space efficiency)
- 85TB raw
- 4U rack space
- Power: 1252 Watts
- Heat: 3 KBTU/hr
- Cost < \$0.5M

	Delta
Rack Space	< 95%
Power	< 75%
Heat	< 90%
CAPEX	< 55%
OPEX (FTE)	At least 3x lower
2015	



Data Reduction

Here are some data points from HP 3PAR telemetry data against All-Flash Arrays:

- Up to 75% reduction in storage foot print when migrating from fat storage to 3PAR thin volumes
- 43% percentage average thin volume utilization across the install base. This translates to about **57% savings** for customers that use thin volumes.
- As per July 2015 the average **deduplication** ratios across all production system is **2.1:1**
 - This is calculated as data written to the volumes by the hosts' vs what the storage array is allocating.
 - Does not include zeroes.
 - Includes production systems (no POC or internal systems) and ratios between and including 1 and 20.
- Over **550 Petabyte** of savings from using 3PAR StoreServ efficient snapshot technology.



HP 3PAR StoreServ All-Flash customers are seeing huge benefits



8/13/2015



Endurance concerns? Not with HP 3PAR

0.7% average wear across the install base over 12 months on cMLC drives





- All-flash is rapidly becoming mainstream
- Cost and reliability are key in fueling this change
- Moving to newer and cost effective media is investable
- An architecture that can take advantage of this is critical

There can be economy only where there is efficiency - Benjamin Disraeli



Architecture. Matters

HP 3PAR StoreServ Greatest Competitive Advantage



Architecture Whitepaper : <u>http://h20195.www2.hp.com/V2/GetPDF.aspx%2F4AA3-3516ENW.pdf</u> Flash Optimized Whitepaper : <u>http://h20195.www2.hp.com/V2/GetPDF.aspx%2F4AA4-7264ENW.pdf</u> Thin Technologies Whitepaper: <u>http://h20195.www2.hp.com/v2/GetPDF.aspx%2F4AA3-8987ENW.pdf</u> Priority Optimization (Storage QoS)Whitepaper: <u>http://h20195.www2.hp.com/V2/GetPDF.aspx%2F4AA4-7604ENW.pdf</u>