Predicting SSD Performance for Today's Dynamic Workloads Shirish Bahirat, SSD Engineering System Architecture





- Presentation material is just conceptual representation of technicalities and for educational purpose only
 - Data represented in this presentation is not attributed to any product or application • Material contained in this presentation is copyright of Micron Technology
- Nothing in this presentation is considered as legal advice or official opinion of Micron Technology or presenter
 - Presenter do not assume any legal responsibility or liability arising due to use of any information in this presentation
 - No warranties, or no implied advice, use at your own risk

Micron Technology



- Write amplification basic terminology
 - Steady state write amplification
- Time domain performance
 - Why steady state write amplification analysis not enough?
- Components impacting dynamic write amplification
 - Logical saturation and degree of randomness fresh out of box, streams, hot vs cold data, trim/unmap
 - Workload transitions mix of sequential and random data

Write Amplification

0-





Workload Visualization

Flash Memory Summit

Initial state				Sequential Write						
B1	B2	B2	B4	B5		X	X	X	X	X
B6	B7	B8	B 9	B10		B6	B7	B8	B 9	B10
B11	B12	B13	B14	B15		B11	B12	B13	B14	B15
B16	B17	B18	B19	B20		B16	B17	B18	B19	B20
B21	B22	B23	B24	B25		B21	B22	B23	B24	B25
OP	OP	OP	OP	OP		B1	B2	B 3	B4	B5
SP	SP	SP	SP	SP		SP		SP		SP

NAND filled with sequential data, OP region empty

SP is spare region

Incoming sequential data written in OP region, entire block stripe validated

Host Data Written = 1 GC Data Written = 0

Initial state

B5	B18	B10	B21
B15	B25	B 3	B6
B24	B20	B12	B2
B17	B1	B7	B13
B4	B11	B22	B16
OP	OP	OP	OP

Drive filled with random data, OP region empty

B14 **B19 B9 B23 B8** OP

Random Write

B5	X	B10	B21	B14
B15	B25	B 3	X	B19
B24	B20	B12	B 2	B 9
X	B1	B7	B13	X
B4	X	B22	B16	B8
B17	B6	B18	B23	B11
SP				

Host random data written in OP region, 3 TU's need to be moved to create empty space

Host Data Written = 1 GC Data Written = 0.6



- NAND Flash memory pages must erased before re-written (Erase Unit Block)
- NAND Flash device can be programed only once after erase (Program Unit Page)

Lots of research and work to understand WA

- Generally computed as a function of Overprovisioning (OP)
- Under steady state (degree of randomness is constant) workloads, WA stabilizes (close to a) fixed number

$$WA = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1 + \sigma}{\sigma} \right) \quad \text{Where } \sigma$$

Real life workloads may not be steady state (or hard to predict) Published equations fall apart under real life working conditions August 8, 2017 Micron Technology

Physical (Written to NAND) data is more than logical (Written by Host) data

denotes Overprovisioning factor

[Ref] Rajiv Agarwal and Marcus Marrow, "A closed-form expression for write amplification in NAND flash", in IEEE Globecom 2010 workshop on Applicat. of Commun. Theory of Emerging Memory Technologies, pp. 1908-1912 - also there is another paper on improved form of this equation



Garbage Collection Process (GC)



GC Data

GC and host data written on separate block



Time Domain Performance

0-

Typical SSD Performance – Bandwidth over time

- Bandwidth changes over time
 - No WA when drive is Fresh Out of Box
 - As drive is filled WA kicks in, dropping performance
- Steady state nature of WA is fully understood Little efforts understanding performance
 - consistency
 - Mechanism that impacts write amp under dynamic workload conditions

1. logical saturation

- We will look at 2 key aspects for performance variance
- 2. random to sequential workload transition

Logical Saturation and Degree of Randomness

0

Logical Saturation – through simple geometrical mapping

Flash Memory Summit

- Logical Saturation
- Physical Saturation
 - contain data
- N_{hs} Logical capacity blocks

The portion of user logical block addresses (LBAs) that contain data

The portion of physical NAND locations that

 T_{bs} - Translation units (TU) per blocks

 Op_{bs} – Blocks providing over provisioning

x – *valid Translation unit count on GC block*

 $Op_{bs\ lsat}$ – Blocks available due to lower logical saturation

 x_{lsat} – valid TU count due to lower logical saturation

Projecting Valid TU Count as a Function of Logical Saturation

Flash Memory Summit

Block Number

1 st driv	e fill
2nd dri	ive fill
3rd dri	ve fill
$4^{th} dri$	ve fill
400	500

 $x_{i+1} =$

- x_{i+1} Valid TU count on the GC victim block
- $Tu_{Logical}$ Logical capacity of the drive
- $(Tb_i Tb_{i-1})$ New TUs written on last block, i.e. TUs per block – GC TUs moved (x_i) for j the block (Gc)

[Iterative form of] Xiao-Yu Hu, Evangelos Eleftheriou, Robert Haas, Ilias Iliadis, Roman Pletka, Write amplification analysis in flash-based solid state drives, Proceedings of SYSTOR 2009: The Israeli Experimental Systems Conference, May 04-April 06, 2009, Haifa, Israel

Enables to projection of write amplification with any block range, any form of logical saturation, basically time domain WA as a function of number of random TUs written

Projecting x as function of new blocks written

$$= \left[1 - \frac{1}{Tu_{Logical}}\right]^{(Tb_i - Tb_{i-1}) * Gc_j}$$

$$x = T_{bs} \left[\frac{N_{bs} - Op_{bs}}{N_{bs} + Op_{bs}} \right]$$
 Ignorir

$$x = T_{bs} \left[\frac{(N_{bs} (2 - l_{sat})) - Op_{bs}}{(l_{sat} N_{bs}) + Op_{bs}} \right]$$

transactional WA model

Iterative form we derived (1st of its kind) correlates WA within 1% to transactional model Simpler form correlates within 5% at lower OP and within 20% to higher op (similar to some published work before)

Intermixing of Sequential and Random Content

0

the bandwidth to nominal state quickly

Nominal bandwidth correspond to sequentially preconditioned drive, executing sequential IOs

Understand how the performance recovery process works

Dynamic WA during Workload Transition

• After drive preconditioned with random data, subsequent sequential fills does not return

- Sequential BW with Sequential Preconditioning
 - Incoming host data invalidates entire block stripe, no valid data left to move for garbage collection process
- Sequential BW with Random Preconditioning
 - Garbage collection intermix random data and sequential data for a single cursor drive

Modeling to Gain Insight – what we learned

To understand performance during transition we need to understand VTC curves

1st Sequential fill after random fills at 25% logical saturation

Flash Memory Summit

50% sequential fill after random fills

100% sequential fill after random fills

Flash Memory Summit

Micron Technology

Bandwidth and Write Amp Vs. OP during workload transitions

Flash Memory Summit

On low OP, it can take as much as 6 drive fills to back to the Sequential Write performance

On high OP, we get back to the Sequential Write performance after 2 drive fills

Multi-cursor Recovery Process

Flash Memory Summit

- stripes
- and random data

Drive can recover performance with 1 fill even for low OP configurations

GC random data and Host sequential data is written to separate block

Avoiding intermixing of the sequential

However in real life there is always possibly even host sending intermixed sequential and random data

Mathematical Formulation for Intermixed Workload

Flash Memory Summit

- Can be extended form of previous equations presented
- Number of discontinuous sections are function of Overprovisioning and WA
- Slope of VTC curve can be projected using iterative form presented previously

Summary

0-

- Real life workloads can include number of variables impacting write bandwidth trim/unmap, sequential/random, hot/cold etc
- Presented 1st of kind work (to best of our knowledge) to better understand how WA impacts performance consistency
- Demonstrated feasibility to characterize dynamic WA

Dynamic WA is key to understand performance consistency

