

Storage Integration with Host-based Write-back Caching

Flash Memory Summit 2017 Andy Banta @andybanta NetApp SolidFire



- Patented information
- How virtual machines use storage
- Caching methods
 - And who can and needs to use them
- Single-host write-back caching integration
- High Availability write-back caching integration



Patented information

 Authors: Andy Banta and **Erik Cota-Robles**

- Wholly owned by **VMware**
- Discovery is fully described by the patent
 - Not giving away any secrets here

Flash Memory Summit 2017 Santa Clara, CA

	Banta et al.	(45)
9	STORAGE INTEGRATION FOR HOST-BASED WRITE-BACK CACHING	
)	Applicant: VMware, Inc., Palo Alto, CA (US)	Fusion-IC ability," 2
9	Inventors: Andrew Banta, Reno, NV (US); Erik Cota-Robles, Mountain View, CA (US)	sion-io-a- IBM, "Di IBM Sys
)	Assignee: VMware, Inc., Palo Alto, CA (US)	Aug. 200 pdfs/sg24
)	Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 162 days.	rure Stor prise", av Sep. 16, 2 The Regi
)	Appl. No.: 14/028,101	2012/06/1 Ricardo
9	Filed: Sep. 16, 2013	Swamina Policies f
0	Prior Publication Data	edu/~raju
	US 2015/0081979 A1 Mar. 19, 2015	http://ww
)	Int. Cl. G06F 12/08 (2016.01)	* cited b
0	U.S. CL CPC G06F 12/0804 (2013.01); G06F 12/0866 (2013.01)	Primary Assistan
9	Field of Classification Search None	(57)
	See application file for complete search history.	Techniqu
9	References Cited	tem and provided
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	to the st
	6,549,959 B1* 4/2003 Yates et al	directed host sys response
v.	Construction of the construction of the second se	A 10 A 20



(73) (*)

(21)

(22)

(54)

(71) (72)

(65)

(12) United States Patent

(51)

(52)

(58)

(56)

6,549,959	B1 *	4/2003	Yates et al.	. 7
8,549,230	B1 *	10/2013	Chatterjee et al.	71
8,904,117	B1 *	12/2014	Kalekar	71
2002/01/2021		11/2002	Characteria	

2014/0143505 A1* 5/2014 Gole

18 Claims, 7 Drawing Sheets



(10) Patent No.: US 9.519.581 B2 Date of Patent: Dec. 13, 2016

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

O. "Fusion-io: A New Standard for Enterprise-class Reli-2011, available at http://www.fusionic.com/hitegarger/fu-ence-standard-fo-caterprise-classraticalbilly/ into Cache Write-Back versus Write-Through? in "Tuning atom x Servers for Performance" (occlion 11.6.7 p. 281), 09, available at http://www.fedbooks.htmc.com/refdbooks/ 4526-711. ailable at http: er. "Dell spills its hot cache Fluid, hones to heat off n. 18, 2012, available at http://www.th

8/dell_hermes/. Koller, Leonardo Marmol, Raju Rangaswami, than Sundararaman,Nisha Talagala, Ming Zhao "Write nani sundantarini visia ranagiat, ving Liaso write or Host-side Flash Caches," Feb. 2013, http://users.cis.fiu. /WWW/publications?fast2013/paper.pdf. emory. Inc. Storage at the Speed of Memory, available at w.violin-memory.com/products/ (viewed Sep. 16, 2013).

ov examiner

Examiner - Midys Rojas t Examiner - Jane Wei

ABSTRACT

ues for enabling integration between a storage sys-a host system that performs write-back caching are d. In one embodiment, the host system can transmit torage system a command indicating that the host to the storage system it comman indicating that use ito's system intends to cache, in a write-back cache, writes directed to a range of logical block addresses (LBAs). The host system can further receive from the storage system a response indicating whether the command is accepted or rejected. If the command is accepted, the host system can initiate the caching of writes in the write-back cache.

3



How VMware uses storage

- Shared filesystem on block storage
 - Others exist, not as interesting
- One or more LUs make up one filesystem
- Virtual disks intermixed with metadata
- Various locks to prevent or coordinate concurrent access to VMs and metadata.



VMFS layout





Caching with VMFS

- Hosts can't cache metadata
 - Heartbeat operations assure storage health
 - Used to coordinate access among multiple hosts
 - Information about usage and layout
- Hosts can cache virtual disk data
 - Assuming single host access
 - Assuming no underlying storage operations



Write-back vs. Write-through

- Write-through
 - Write operations complete to backing storage
 - Therefore no write speed up
 - Provides transactional consistency
 - Required in many cases
- Write-back
 - Speeds up write operations
 - Might cause data corruption or loss



Write-back options

- Write ordering is important
 - Flushing should be in write order
 - Out-of-order flush can cause corruption
- Not for all workloads
 - Desktops, web servers are OK
 - Databases not so much
- Can be used for crash consistency









Write-back pitfalls





Write-back pitfalls







New commands and responses

- Simple expansion of SCSI T10 standard
- Cache Notification Command
 - Capability discoverable at Inquiry
 - Host informs storage of intent to do write-back caching
 - Provides a range of blocks (LBAs)
- Cache Flushed Command
 - Flag to indicate if caching is complete



New commands and responses

- Simple expansion of SCSI T10 standard
- Flush Required Unit Attention
 - Recoverable, Not ignorable
 - Synchronized with Flush Completed command
 - Flag for one time or permanent





Start caching example











Cache coordination

Action	Unit Attention	Host Command
Storage operation	Flush Required – one time	Flush complete - No Cache complete
Secondary host operation	Flush Required - permanent	Flush complete – Cache complete
Primary host operation	N/A	Flush complete – Cache complete



VMware Fault Tolerance







New commands and responses

- Continued expansion of SCSI T10 standard
- Cache Notification Command
 - Standby Present indicator
 - Assuming Control indicator
- Write Query Command
 - Checks if particular LBAs have been written
 - Used to prune the standby write-back cache





Standby checking cache status



Santa Clara, CA



































Failover to secondary system





